United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,477	09/05/2003	Carey E. Garibay	BEAS-01454US4	8635
23910 ELIESTER ME	7590 06/18/2007 R MEYER LLP		EXAMINER	
650 CALIFORNIA STREET 14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			HEWITT II, CALVIN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3621	•
			1	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/656,477	GARIBAY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Calvin L. Hewitt II	3621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Set</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction of the correction o	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :1-17-07,5-26-06,3-11-05,12-15-03.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,477

Art Unit: 3621

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-27 have been examined.

Examiner's Comment

2. Language that suggest or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation (MPEP §2106 II C; Intel Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 20 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1991); In re Johnston, 77 USPQ2d 1788 (CA FC 2006)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 7, 8 and 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the configuration input screen" in line 2.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 16 is also rejected as it recites similar language.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the change of IP address" in lines 1 and 2.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 17 is also rejected as it recites similar language.

Page 3

Claim 10 is directed to a license management system comprising a processor and memory. However, claims 11-17, which depend from claim 10, are directed to either method steps (*Ex Parte Lyell*, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (B.P.A.I. 1990)) (claims 11, 12 and 16-18) or data (e.g. input configuration information) (claims 13-15) that may or may not be present (*In re Collier*, 158 USPQ 266 (CCPA 1968)), therefore the scope of claim 10 is unclear to one of ordinary skill. Claims 11-17 are also rejected as each depends from claim 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Misra et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,189,146.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,477

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 10-17, Misra et al. teach a processor and memory (column/line 5/3-6/20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,189,146.

As per claims 1-27, Misra et al. teach a method comprising providing configuration information concerning a software license (column 6, lines 50-64; column/line 7/25-8/33; column 13, lines 12-23) and maintaining a digital record of the license (column 7, lines 12-21; column 8, lines 35-67; column/line 9/29-10/60). Misra et al. also teach license information such as software environment (e.g. operating system), the number of licenses (e.g. number of CPUs), unique computer identifiers (abstract; column 9, lines 40-50; column 10, lines 50-60; column/line 12/40-13/11) and maintaining a transaction history that changes to the configuration information (column 6, lines 50-64; column 8, lines 35-67; column 16, lines 38-43 and 56-63; column 17, lines 8-25). Misra et al. do not

specifically, disclose input fields for the input of configuration information. Singh et al. teach a licensing system where a customer requests a license by input license information into fields on a webpage (column 10, lines 12-27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Misra et al. and Singh et al. in order to provide a customer with an efficient method for entering data related to a license request ('146, column 6, lines 50-64; column 13, lines 12-32).

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - Christiano discloses "node-locked" licenses where a license comprises a unique computer identifier and a computer application can only be run on a computer with a matching computer identifier
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6709. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3621

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer, can be reached at (571) 272-6779.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

Calvin Loyd; Hewitt Primary Examiner

May 29, 2007